

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/733,756	12/08/2000	David Mack	A-69439/DJB/JJD	2798	
20350	7590 09/09/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FLC	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/733,756	MACK ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Ia ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The analysis of the control of the	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.18(a). The fee	f extension and the corresponding amo- ne shortened statutory period for reply of e later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 August 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-35,37-39 and 41-51</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-6, 8-30</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						
J. Godbeg						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/733,756

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 44 has been amended to change the claims. The claims have been amended such that it is unclear how the level of expression of the nucleic acid in different cellular states used to determin the prognosis. .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The after-final response has submitted an exhibit. The exhibit will not be considered because good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented have not been shown. Prior to final rejection, the examiner made several attempts to contact the applicant to obtain a copy of the response discussed in the office action however the applicant did not provide the reference at that time. To submit the exhibit after final, further consideration would be required with out an explaination why it was not earlier presented.

The response appears to argue particular thresholds for determining the marker, these values do not appear in the claim (for example the average vale of 220), nor do they appear in the specification. It is unlicear wheter the value of 300 has been extracted from to compare expression of breast tissue expression. Normal breast tissue appears to range from 80-400 with 83% of breast cancer tissues having les than 400 expression. Furthermore, the analysis provided that 2 of 7 normal breast tissue samples had levels higher than 300 is approximately 1/3. Given the claims, in 1/3 of the cases, the increase in expression would not be indicative of breast cancer. Thus, as written the claims appear to lack enablement for the reasons set forth previously.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.